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## Purposes

- Identify common auto travel speed 'themes' on the corridors where Bluetooth data was collected
- Is there a relationship between HERE, INRIX and Bluetooth auto speed data?
- If yes, can we utilize HERE or INRIX for corridor planning studies instead of collecting Bluetooth or Floating car speed data?
- What are the free flow and congested speeds in these corridors?
- How do they compare against the local travel demand model speeds?
- Is there a need to update model's free flow speeds? How will it impact model's congested speeds?


## Background

- Bluetooth Data
- Collected by FDOT D4 prior to the commencement of planning studies
- 15-minute interval speed data along four corridors available (2012 \& 2013)
- No data clean-up required [performed by the software]
- INRIX Data
- Purchased by FDOT Central Office
- 12-month period (2010-2011) 5-minute interval average speed data
- No further data clean-up required
- HERE Data
- October 2013 data acquired by FDOT D4 from FHWA
- Formatting similar to INRIX but not processed for outliers -> cleanup required


## Corridors

| Corridors | Timeframe of <br> Bluetooth Data <br> Collection | Segment <br> Length (miles) | Number of <br> Lanes* | Average Posted <br> Speed (mph) | Average Daily <br> Traffic Volume |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR-7 / US-441 | October 2012 | 27.5 | 4LD-6LD <br> (5.6D Average) | 45.1 | 49,000 <br> $(2009$ AADT) |
| SR-817 / <br> University Drive | November 2012 | 26.1 | 4LD-6LD <br> (5.8D Average) | 45.0 | 50,000 <br> $(2011$ AADT) |
| SR-820 / <br> Hollywood/Pines <br> Boulevard | Sept-Nov 2013 | 19.4 | 4LD-6LD <br> (5.7DAverage) | 41.0 | 38,000 |
| SR-5 / US-1 | Sept-Nov 2013 | 11.4 | 4LD-6LD <br> (5.1D Average) | 3012 AADT) |  |

These corridors were selected because all three data sources were available.

## Methodology

- Used Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Thursdays data
- Five time periods used for analysis
- AM Peak ( 6 AM - 9AM), Midday (9AM - 3PM), PM Peak (3PM 7PM), Evening (7PM - 10PM) and Night (10PM - 6AM)
- Speed data of 'all vehicles' is summarized by direction, by period, by segment for four corridors in Broward County
- Average Speed (period, segment) = Sum of all TMC distances (period, segment) / Sum of all travel times (period, segment)
- 66 data points per period from each source
- HERE data filter - removed data with speeds <= 5 mph and >=60 mph (cliffs based on data mining)


## HERE Data Speed Filtering - Example

## Plots for all Hollywood EB TMCs at every 15 min interval



## HERE Data Filtering - Why Necessary?

- Very high fluctuation in Evening and Night speeds compared to the day time speeds
- Speed variations are not specific to one TMC
- Abnormal observations ('noise’) found on TMCs where speed at a given time ( t ) is very low compared to:
- Speeds on the same TMC at t+5 and t-5 minutes
- Speeds on adjacent TMCs at the same time (t)
- Little to no diurnal variations in speed
- Similar conclusions from both Hollywood and SR-7 corridor data
- Speed filtering removes the variations and abnormal observations in the data


## Key Findings (1 of 5)

Average Speed for AM Peak (Hollywood Blvd Eastbound)


## All three data sources estimate largely similar speed profiles both diurnally and along the roadway segments

## Key Findings (2 of 5)

| Comparison | Stats | AM Peak | Midday | PM Peak | Evening | Night |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INRIX vs. HERE | Mean Error (mph) | 6.3 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 7.1 | 7.8 |
|  | Mean Percent Error | 26\% | 20\% | 22\% | 29\% | 29\% |
|  | Mean Absolute Error (mph) | 6.3 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 7.1 | 7.9 |
|  | Mean Percent Absolute Error | 26\% | 20\% | 23\% | 29\% | 29\% |
|  | Root Mean Square Error (mph) | 7.2 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 8.8 |
|  | Root Mean Square Percent Error | 30\% | 22\% | 27\% | 34\% | 33\% |
| Bluetooth vs. HERE | Mean Error (mph) | 1.3 | 0.9 | -0.2 | 3.6 | 4.9 |
|  | Mean Percent Error | 5\% | 3\% | -1\% | 15\% | 18\% |
|  | Mean Absolute Error (mph) | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 4.6 | 5.7 |
|  | Mean Percent Absolute Error | 12\% | 13\% | 13\% | 19\% | 21\% |
|  | Root Mean Square Error (mph) | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 6.6 |
|  | Root Mean Square Percent Error | 16\% | 16\% | 17\% | 24\% | 25\% |

Bluetooth and HERE data sets estimate remarkably similar "average" time of day travel speeds even at a segment-level

## Key Findings (3 of 5)

| Comparison | Stats | AM <br> Peak | Mid- <br> day | PM <br> Peak | Evening | Night |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INRIX vs. <br> HERE | Mean Error (mph) | 6.3 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 7.1 | 7.8 |
|  | Mean Percent Error | $26 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
|  | Mean Absolute Error (mph) | 6.3 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 7.1 | 7.9 |
|  | Root Mean Square Error (mph) | 7.2 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 8.8 |
|  | Root Mean Square Percent Error | $30 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
|  | Mean Error (mph) | 1.3 | 0.9 | -0.2 | 3.6 | 4.9 |
|  | Mean Percent Error | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $-1 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
|  | Mean Absolute Error (mph) | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 4.6 | 5.7 |
|  | Root Mean Square Error (mph) | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 6.6 |
|  | Root Mean Square Percent Error | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ |

There is a greater variation in the night/early morning travel speeds in the three data sets than the day speeds

## Key Findings (4 of 5)

AM Peak


Bluetooth and HERE travel speeds are in general 5 to 10 miles per hour lower than INRIX speeds during the day.

## Key Findings (5 of 5)

- Model free flow speeds on an average $10 \%$ faster than the observed Bluetooth speeds
- Model mid-day speeds similar to free flow speeds
- Observed Bluetooth speeds are significantly slower
- Difference of up to 15 mph in certain segments ( $45 \%$ overall)
- Both AM and PM peak model auto speeds are faster than observed Bluetooth speeds
- PM peak travel times on these corridors are severely underestimated (average 30\% overall)

The travel speeds estimates from the demand model were generally higher than all three data sources, especially for the mid-day period.

## Summary of Key Findings

- All three data sources estimate largely similar speed profiles both diurnally and along the roadway segments
- Bluetooth and HERE data sets estimate remarkably similar "average" time of day travel speeds, even at a segment-level
- INRIX speed $>$ HERE speed for almost all data points across all five time periods
- Bluetooth and HERE travel speeds are in general 5 to 10 miles per hour lower than INRIX speeds during the day
- Greater variation in the night/early morning travel speeds in the three data sets than the day speeds
- The travel speeds estimates from the local travel demand model are generally higher than all three data sources, especially for the mid-day period


## Common Corridor Themes

- The overall average travel speed during the day in the four corridors is 20-25 mph
- Approximately half of the posted speed limits
- Mid-day is as congested as the AM peak
- Slowest travel speeds during the PM Peak
- Generally no peak direction of travel - both directions are equally congested $->$ function of the nature of the corridors selected
- Bluetooth free flow speeds (10 PM to 6 AM ) are between 29-37 mph
- Generally close to or lower than the regional model depending on the corridor


## Recommendations

- For a planning study, if the HERE data is available for a corridor similar to the ones analyzed
- No need to collect Bluetooth or floating car speed data
- Filtering process necessary to remove the data noise
- Similar analysis necessary for other facility types when observed data becomes available
- Other potential usage of HERE data for planning and operational purposes should be explored further
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